

Message (Digitally Signed)

From: Robinson, Derek J CIV NAVFAC HQ, BRAC PMO [derek.j.robinson1@navy.mil]
Sent: 3/21/2016 2:26:39 PM
To: LEE, LILY [LEE.LILY@EPA.GOV]; Bacey, Juanita@DTSC [Juanita.Bacey@dtsc.ca.gov]
CC: Edwards, Zachary L CIV SEA 04 04N [zachary.edwards@navy.mil]; Janda, Danielle L CIV NAVFAC SW [danielle.janda@navy.mil]
Subject: RE: [Non-DoD Source] Prefer 4/12 or 4/13? FW: Hunters Point technical meeting with Navy, DTSC, & EPA - timing & proposed agenda
Attachments: smime.p7s

Hi Lily,

The 13th works, but I'll be flying in on the first flight. It should be fine to get there by 9:30am, but depending on the traffic/flight, I could be running a couple minutes late.

Derek

-----Original Message-----

From: LEE, LILY [mailto:LEE.LILY@EPA.GOV]
Sent: Friday, March 18, 2016 11:13 AM
To: Robinson, Derek J CIV NAVFAC HQ, BRAC PMO; Bacey, Juanita@DTSC
Cc: Edwards, Zachary L CIV SEA 04 04N
Subject: [Non-DoD Source] Prefer 4/12 or 4/13? FW: Hunters Point technical meeting with Navy, DTSC, & EPA - timing & proposed agenda

Dear Derek & Nina,

Bradley has agreed to the 3 times that you have said will work for the Navy & DTSC on 4/12 at 10 am or 11 am and on 4/13 at 9:30 am. (I have an 11 am mtg 4/13 at Paul Hastings, but it might get cancelled. If so, I can be available later too.)

Derek, you had said at the BCT mtg that 4/12 at 10 am is a very good time. You also said on 4/13, since he'll be in town anyway for the community mtg, you could come in person.

Do you have a preference among the 3 times?

Lily

Lily Lee

Cleanup Project Manager

Superfund Division

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9

75 Hawthorne St. (SFD-8-3)

San Francisco, CA 94105

Tel: 415-947-4187, Fax: 415-947-3518

www.epa.gov/region9/superfund

From: Bradley Angel [mailto:bradley@greenaction.org]
Sent: Thursday, March 17, 2016 9:39 AM
To: LEE, LILY <LEE.LILY@EPA.GOV>; Daniel O Hirsch <dohirsch@ucsc.edu>
Subject: Re: Hunters Point technical meeting with Navy, DTSC, & EPA - timing & proposed agenda

Hi Lily,
The proposed days and times work for us, so hopefully the UCSC folks can do one of those.
Also in your email you mention that the Navy offered to respond sooner in writing to specific questions, but they have had these questions...see below.

Bradley

On 3/17/2016 9:32 AM, LEE, LILY wrote:

Dear Bradley,

I'm glad I got to talk with you yesterday at the EJ Task Force meeting. As you requested, out of the 13 times I put in the survey, the Navy, DTSC, and EPA are available April 12 at 10 am or 11 am and April 13 at 9:30 am for a one-hour meeting or call. Below is a screenshot showing showing responses thus far to the 13 proposed times. Here's the link to the electronic version of the poll: <http://doodle.com/poll/3zvyyh3wq8s3g276>. Since no one single time slot is good for all poll respondents, I can propose more alternative times if you like.

In addition, Derek Robinson (Navy) offered to respond sooner in writing to any specific questions that you would like to send in writing. EPA can do that as well. Derek also proposed a draft agenda below based on the general topics that Dan Hirsch provided below. From Derek Robinson (Navy):

"From Mr. Hirsch's email, below is a suggested agenda that I am hoping you can send out for EPA and Greenaction's comment/concurrence. We need to pin this down so that my folks can set aside the time and prepare for topics. Until the agenda is set, a date has been selected, and my folks have responded back, I can only commit to endeavoring to get everyone to the meeting.

1. Derivation of remediation goals for radionuclides - 10 min
2. Risk estimates calculations - 10 min
3. Consistency with EPA methodology - 10 min
4. Disposal requirements - 10 min
4. CERCLA risk assessment process - 10 min
5. Misc. Topics - 15 min
6. Document availability - 5 min"

Please let me know how you would like to proceed.

From Dan Hirsch:

"Among the technical issues about which we have questions and for which it would be helpful to have your technical people available who can answer questions in those areas are:

1. How the remediation goals for radionuclides were derived.

2. How the risk estimates for specific estimated radiation doses were derived, and how those doses themselves were derived.

3. The standards that have been and are being used to declare materials to be or not be low-level radioactive waste and where these materials have been and are being sent for disposal or recycling.

4. Questions about pre- and post-remediation risk assessments for both chemicals and radionuclides.

5. Numerous questions about status of characterization, environmental impact review, and remediation for different portions of HPBV.

6. Availability of various key documents.

We have some general questions about the above subject areas, but also detailed specific technical questions"

Lily Lee

Cleanup Project Manager

Superfund Division

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9

75 Hawthorne St. (SFD-8-3)

San Francisco, CA 94105

Tel: 415-947-4187, Fax: 415-947-3518

www.epa.gov/region9/superfund